

試題解析

請先閱讀問題，以助閱讀時找到正確訊息。

- **What** is **NOT** given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?
- According to the email, **how** does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?
- **Which** of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?
- **What** can we guess about Leisure Weekly?



請閱讀文章：

4 great reasons why Leisure Weekly believes YOU should be wearing a bicycle helmet:

1. Protection
 - ◆ Research shows that helmets reduce the chances of brain injuries.
 - ◆ Helmets provide shade from the sun and keep rainfall from your eyes, allowing you to observe your surroundings better.
2. Social Responsibility

Wearing a helmet sets a good example for your children and peers. In many regions around the country, half of the cyclists already wear helmets. You should be one of them.
3. Visibility

Brightly colored helmets help other road-users keep a safe distance.
4. Legal issues

Many insurance companies reduce the amount of money they award if you aren't wearing a helmet when an accident occurs.

For our latest review of bike helmets, please turn to page 25 of this issue.

From:

To:

Subject:

Dear sir,

Having just read your piece on cycling safety, I would like to share a different view with your readers.

I am originally from the Netherlands, where almost 30% of all journeys are made by bicycle. Yet few people there wear a helmet. There are several reasons for this.

First, the Dutch government invests in cycle paths for particularly busy or dangerous stretches of road. This makes Amsterdam, the capital city of the Netherlands, one of the safest cities to cycle in.

Second, although it is true that you can injure your head falling off a bike, you can hurt your head just as easily when walking. Would you wear a helmet for a walk in the park?

Finally, the edges of helmets sometimes block your view of the road, particularly if you are bending forward.

So instead of wearing a helmet, why not campaign for a safer cycling environment!

Yours faithfully,

P. Janssen

本篇為一篇雜誌文章和一封電子郵件，文章內容呼籲腳踏車騎士穿戴安全帽及理由。電子郵件則提供一個不同的看法。



請閱讀問題與選項；查看詳解，請按箭號。➤

21. What is NOT given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?
- A. It can help you ride more efficiently.
 - B. It can alert drivers to your presence.
 - C. It can encourage children to follow suit.
 - D. It can have financial implications. ➤
22. According to the email, how does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?
- A. By setting a speed limit
 - B. By constructing bike lanes
 - C. By requiring people to use protective gear
 - D. By restricting the number of cyclists ➤
23. Which of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?
- A. They improve vision.
 - B. They prevent injuries.
 - C. Children without them are at risk.
 - D. Cyclists look attractive with them. ➤
24. What can we guess about Leisure Weekly?
- A. It enjoys a wide circulation.
 - B. It is an electronic magazine.
 - C. It is published outside of the Netherlands.
 - D. It receives financial support from the government. ➤

請閱讀問題與選項；查看詳解，請按箭號。➤

21. What is NOT given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?

- A. It can help you ride more efficiently.
- B. It can alert drivers to your presence.
- C. It can encourage children to follow suit.
- D. It can have financial implications.

本題評量整合歸納多項訊息的能力。

在雜誌文章中，作者提到應該戴安全帽的理由有 Brightly colored helmets help other road-users keep a safe distance.，與選項 B 相符。另外 Wearing a helmet sets a good example for your children and peers. 與選項 C 一致。選項 D 則出現在文章列出的第四項 Legal issues 中。整合這些訊息，可以得知文章未提到的理由是 A. 安全帽能讓你更有效率地騎腳踏車。

22. According to the email, how does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?

- A. By setting a speed limit
- B. By constructing bike lanes
- C. By requiring people to use protective gear
- D. By restricting the number of cyclists

23. Which of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?

- A. They improve vision.
- B. They prevent injuries.
- C. Children without them are at risk.
- D. Cyclists look attractive with them.

24. What can we guess about Leisure Weekly?

- A. It enjoys a wide circulation.
- B. It is an electronic magazine.
- C. It is published outside of the Netherlands.
- D. It receives financial support from the government.

請閱讀問題與選項；查看詳解，請按箭號。 >

21. What is NOT given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?
- A. It can help you ride more efficiently.
 - B. It can alert drivers to your presence.
 - C. It can encourage children to follow suit.
 - D. It can have financial implications.
22. According to the email, how does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?
- A. By setting a speed limit
 - B. By constructing bike lanes**
 - C. By requiring people to use protective gear
 - D. By restricting the number of cyclists

本題評量根據字面意義理解文章的能力。

電子郵件中作者提出荷蘭人不戴安全帽的兩個理由，其一是 the Dutch government invests in cycle paths for particularly busy or dangerous stretches of road. (在特別忙碌或危險的馬路上設制腳踏車道)。選項 B. By constructing bike lanes 即是作者的第一個理由，故為正解。

23. Which of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?
- A. They improve vision.
 - B. They prevent injuries.
 - C. Children without them are at risk.
 - D. Cyclists look attractive with them.
24. What can we guess about Leisure Weekly?
- A. It enjoys a wide circulation.
 - B. It is an electronic magazine.
 - C. It is published outside of the Netherlands.
 - D. It receives financial support from the government.

請閱讀問題與選項；查看詳解，請按箭號。➤

21. What is NOT given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?
- A. It can help you ride more efficiently.
 - B. It can alert drivers to your presence.
 - C. It can encourage children to follow suit.
 - D. It can have financial implications.
22. According to the email, how does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?
- A. By setting a speed limit
 - B. By constructing bike lanes
 - C. By requiring people to use protective gear
 - D. By restricting the number of cyclists
23. Which of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?
- A. **They improve vision.**
 - B. They prevent injuries.
 - C. Children without them are at risk.
 - D. Cyclists look attractive with them.

本題是整合歸納多篇文本中訊息的能力。

題目問 email 反駁了哪一項有關腳踏車安全帽的意見。首先，分別找到雜誌文章和 email 中針對安全帽的四個理由。雜誌文章提出 Protection, social responsibilities, vision 和 legal issues。Email 作者舉出腳踏車道、走路不須安全帽和影響視線三個理由。整合兩個作者的論點，可發現一個共通點，兩個作者都有提到視線 (Vision)，但是立場卻大不相同。雜誌文章寫道 Brightly colored helmets help other road-users keep a safe distance.；但 email 反駁，認為 the edges of helmets sometimes block your view of the road, particularly if you are bending forward (安全帽的邊沿會阻擋你前方視線，尤其當你身體往前傾時)，因此 A 為正解。

24. What can we guess about Leisure Weekly?
- A. It enjoys a wide circulation.
 - B. It is an electronic magazine.
 - C. It is published outside of the Netherlands.
 - D. It receives financial support from the government.

請閱讀問題與選項；查看詳解，請按箭號。

21. What is NOT given as a reason for wearing a bike helmet in the magazine article?
- A. It can help you ride more efficiently.
 - B. It can alert drivers to your presence.
 - C. It can encourage children to follow suit.
 - D. It can have financial implications.
22. According to the email, how does the Dutch government improve cycling safety on busy streets?
- A. By setting a speed limit
 - B. By constructing bike lanes
 - C. By requiring people to use protective gear
 - D. By restricting the number of cyclists
23. Which of the following opinion about bike helmets is opposed in the email?
- A. They improve vision.
 - B. They prevent injuries.
 - C. Children without them are at risk.
 - D. Cyclists look attractive with them.
24. What can we guess about Leisure Weekly?
- A. It enjoys a wide circulation.
 - B. It is an electronic magazine.
 - C. **It is published outside of the Netherlands.**
 - D. It receives financial support from the government.

本題是整合歸納多篇文本中訊息的能力。

題目問的是推論 Leisure Weekly 的特色。XXX Weekly (XXX 周刊) 是雜誌常見的名稱，Leisure Weekly 便是第一篇文章的出處。電子郵件以荷蘭的例子反駁雜誌文章的論點，可以合理推論 Leisure Weekly 應該不是荷蘭國內的雜誌，因此應該選擇 C。